

**Reinvesting for a Healthier Canada:  
RNAO 2009 Federal Pre-Budget Submission**

**Submission to the House of Commons Standing Committee on  
Finance**

**August 14, 2009**

**Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (RNAO)**

## Executive Summary

It is not realistic, respectful, or democratic to solicit public input to the federal government's budget priorities and then prevent consideration of thoughtful responses by setting arbitrary constraints such as only considering the first three recommendations presented or only the first part of a multi-part recommendation. Complex global challenges require elaborate, inter-sectoral solutions that engage all Canadians—limiting thoughtful, multi-factoral recommendations to three simple points is a lost opportunity to improve public policy and inspire collective action.

### **RNAO's recommendations:**

**Recommendation #1: Ensure the fiscal capacity to deliver all essential health, social, and environmental services by building a more progressive tax system and revenue sources that encourage environmental and societal responsibility.**

**Recommendation #2: Ensure that Canadians have access to nursing care by investing \$385 million in earmarked conditional transfers for nursing education and to support the creation of 10,000 additional full-time RN positions.**

**Recommendation #3: Ensure that unemployed Canadians in this time of economic turmoil will be able to access Canada's Employment Insurance (EI) system by expanding eligibility and improving benefit levels.**

The Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (RNAO) is the professional organization for registered nurses who practice in all roles and sectors across Ontario. Our mandate is to advocate for nursing and for healthy public policy, both in Ontario and nationally through membership in the Canadian Nurses Association. We welcome this opportunity to participate in the federal pre-budget consultation and to convey the views and recommendations of Ontario's registered nurses.

Prior to providing the rationale for our three top recommendations, RNAO would like to provide feedback to the House of Commons Standing Committee on Finance on what we experience as a profound limitation to the consultation process. In the context of unprecedented financial turbulence and global economic difficulties, the Committee asks all interested Canadians to respond to two complex, multi-faceted questions by providing a maximum of three simple recommendations.<sup>1</sup> The Committee warns that they will only consider the first three recommendations presented or only the first part of a multi-part recommendation. It is not realistic, respectful, or democratic to solicit public input to the federal government's budget priorities and then prevent consideration of thoughtful responses by setting such arbitrary constraints. Complex global challenges require elaborate, inter-sectoral solutions that engage all Canadians—limiting thoughtful, multi-factoral recommendations to three simple points is a lost opportunity to improve public policy and inspire collective action.

**Recommendation #1: Ensure the fiscal capacity to deliver all essential health, social, and environmental services by building a more progressive tax system and revenue sources that encourage environmental and societal responsibility.**

**Rationale for Recommendation #1: Taxes Pay for Investments Needed by a Healthy Society**

RNAO's perspective is that current economic challenges make it all the more imperative that the federal government ensure fiscal capacity over the long run to meet its obligations to deliver all necessary physical, environmental and social infrastructure. A modern economy depends upon a healthy, educated population – able to realize its full productive potential. As registered nurses, we know this requires government policies to support all determinants of health, including: sufficient resources to live in health and dignity; social inclusion; housing; healthy environments; and access to health care and education. Through government, we pay to address these determinants using our tax dollars.

The federal government plays a major role, particularly through transfer payments to provinces and territories, for health care, post-secondary education, social assistance, social services, early childhood development and childcare. A long-term downward trend in government program expenditures as a share of GDP helps to explain the large shortfall of investment in physical, social and environmental capital. Between 1983-1984 and 2007-2008, federal program expenditures (including transfer payments to individuals and other levels of government) have dropped from 18.8 per cent of GDP to 13 per cent.<sup>2</sup> This drop has meant cutbacks in services and infrastructure renewal that are critical to maintaining a healthy society.

This drop in expenditures is driven by a decision to balance the budget in the wake of tax cuts, which have made Canada one of the least taxed countries in the OECD.<sup>3</sup> As one study of advanced OECD countries concluded, low tax rates conferred little or no economic advantage, but they came at a high social cost (such as more unequal income distribution and higher rates of poverty).<sup>4</sup> Inevitably, vulnerable populations suffer the most from under-investment in social, environmental and health infrastructure.

The most important tax policy question for RNAO is how to adequately fund important public services. The government must raise enough tax revenue to pay for the services necessary to maintain a healthy society. At the present time, that means reversing tax cuts such as broad-based personal income tax cuts that will cost about \$2 billion per year while providing the greatest benefit to those with the highest incomes.<sup>5</sup> Low-income Canadians will receive a maximum of only \$33 from broad-based tax cuts, while the average Canadian household can expect a little over \$300 and those making over \$150,000 will receive \$900.<sup>6</sup> Instead, alternative revenue sources such as strengthening green taxes is a more equitable way of raising money that will simultaneously correct certain market inefficiencies.

**Recommendation #2: Ensure that Canadians have access to nursing care by investing \$385 million in earmarked conditional transfers for nursing education and to support the creation of 10,000 additional full-time RN positions.**

### **Rationale for Recommendation #2: Canada Needs to Invest in Nursing Human Resources for Better Health Outcomes and Improved Access to Health Care**

Health care is a determinant of health, and access to registered nurses is an essential component for optimal health outcomes. There is clear evidence that demonstrates care provided by registered nurses is associated with better health outcomes in a variety of settings such as hospitals,<sup>7 8 9</sup> long-term care,<sup>10 11</sup> and the community.<sup>12 13 14</sup> To take but one example, a systematic review of the literature found that greater RN staffing was associated with lower hospital mortality such that an increase by 1 RN full time equivalent (FTE) per patient day would save 5 lives per 1,000 hospitalized patients in intensive care units, 5 lives per 1,000 hospitalized medical patients, and 6 lives per 1,000 hospitalized surgical patients.<sup>15</sup> Nurse Practitioners (NP) in both primary and acute care settings have been shown to supplement and complement other roles<sup>16 17</sup> and improve access to health services. In a variety of settings, RNs and NPs have proved beneficial to clients with chronic care conditions by successfully decreasing utilization of health-care resources, improving patient satisfaction, and improving quality of life.<sup>18 19 20 21</sup>

Canada's RN workforce is aging. In 2007, the average age of a RN employed in nursing was 45.1 years compared with the average age in 2001 of 43.3 years.<sup>22</sup> In 2007, 22.0 per cent were over 54 years of age, which is close to an average age of retirement for nurses.<sup>23</sup> At the same time, the RN workforce is lagging in size behind population growth, with the number of RNs/10,000 population being 78.2, which is well below the 80.8 RNs/10,000 level in 1994.<sup>24</sup> With an aging nursing workforce serving the needs of a growing and aging population, efforts are required to retain the current workforce; absorb and retain new graduates; attract more individuals to nursing; and, reduce workloads.

The Canadian Nurses Association estimates that there was a shortage of nearly 11,000 FTE RNs in Canada in 2007.<sup>25</sup> They predict that if the health needs of Canadians continue to change according to past trends, the shortage of RNs in Canada will increase to almost 60,000 FTEs by 2022 **if no policy interventions are implemented.**<sup>26</sup> Fortunately, there are policy interventions at hand that the federal government has the opportunity to fund in order to ensure that Canadians have access to nursing care.

Support for nursing education and the resulting number of graduates from nursing programs are a function of policy choices and political will. In 2007, the number of entry-to-practice nursing graduates in Canada reached 9,447.<sup>27</sup> This was the first time in 30 years that the number exceeded 9,000. Over this time period, Canada's population has grown by approximately 39 per cent.<sup>28</sup> In 1971 and 1972, there were

10,058 and 10,083 graduates respectively from Canadian nursing programs.<sup>29</sup> Recent policy choices are starting to make a difference. In Canada, there was a 12.7 per cent increase in the number of graduates from entry-to-practice nursing programs between 2006 and 2007.<sup>30</sup> The largest increase was in Ontario with a 40.3 per cent increase as there were 2,828 nursing graduates from entry-to-practice programs in 2007 compared with 2,015 in 2006.<sup>31</sup>

The Canadian Nurses Association estimates that Canada needs to graduate at least 12,000 nursing students per year in order to keep up with population growth and attrition.<sup>32</sup> With additional resources, it is anticipated that 70 per cent of RN programs could expand their enrolment by 25 per cent.<sup>33</sup> The Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing estimates an annual need for 3,673 nurses with master's degrees and 650 nurses with doctoral degrees.<sup>34</sup> In 2007, only 603 master's degrees were granted, and 44 PhDs – 16.4 per cent and 6.8 per cent of the required totals, respectively.<sup>35</sup> A massive effort is required in order to have faculty to teach the needed increase in nursing students, especially as nursing faculty are nearing retirement in increasing numbers.

RNAO has advocated, with notable success, for 70 per cent full-time RN employment since 2000. Excessive utilization of part-time and casual employment for RNs has been associated with decreased morale and disengagement among nurses, and lack of continuity of care for patients.<sup>36</sup> Higher proportions of full-time RN staff are associated with lower mortality rates,<sup>37</sup> improved quality of care, and cost savings.<sup>38</sup> RNAO's 2005 survey, *The 70 Per Cent Solution*, found the strongest progress in full-time RN employment took place in the hospital sector, which had conditional, targeted funding.<sup>39</sup> The percentage of Ontario RNs employed full-time has been rising from a low of 50 per cent in 1998 to 64.7 per cent in 2008.<sup>40</sup> Achieving 70 per cent full-time work across the national nursing workforce will require more targeted, conditional funding for the hospital sector, and the introduction of such funding to the long-term care and home care sectors.

The federal government must contribute to solving this problem by providing for investments in key areas to retain existing RNs and deliver a new generation of RNs. Multiple challenges require investment in several areas including creation of full time positions for RNs; nursing education infrastructure; support for faculty education and faculty positions; increased number of nursing seats; and increased access to clinical placements for students. RNAO recommends that the federal government invest \$135 million funding in earmarked conditional transfers for nursing education and to support the creation of 10,000 new full-time RN positions.

**Recommendation #3: Ensure that unemployed Canadians in this time of economic turmoil will be able to access Canada's Employment Insurance (EI) system by expanding eligibility and improving benefit levels.**

**Rationale for Recommendation #3: Employment Insurance is a critical component of preventing unemployed workers from the detrimental health impacts of poverty while serving as an economic stimulus for local communities.**

There is overwhelming evidence from academic research and our own nursing practice that those who live in poverty and are socially excluded experience a greater burden of disease and die earlier than those who have better access to economic, social, and political resources.<sup>41 42 43</sup> The evidence is clear: differences in social and economic status are directly linked to inequitable health outcomes. As Canada's Chief Public Health Officer noted, "if all neighbourhoods had the age- and sex-specific mortality rates of

the highest-income quintile neighbourhoods, then the total potential years of life lost for all urban neighbourhoods would have been reduced by approximately 20%.<sup>44</sup> Sound social investment is both good social policy and good economic policy.

As RNAO has testified before the House of Commons Standing Committee on Human Resources, Skills and Social Development and the Status of Persons with Disabilities on the federal contribution to reducing poverty in Canada, a comprehensive, integrated federal plan for poverty elimination that is linked to and supportive of provincial and territorial poverty action plans is needed.<sup>45</sup> While there are a variety of important actions that must be taken by all levels of government to eliminate poverty, reforming the Employment Insurance (EI) system is critical.

With a June 2009 unemployment rate of 8.6 per cent, there are now 1,591,900 unemployed women and men in Canada.<sup>46</sup> Ontario's manufacturing sector has been particularly hard-hit by job losses. Ontario's unemployment rate for June 2009 was 9.6 per cent,<sup>47</sup> with Windsor being particularly hard-hit with an unemployment rate of 14.4 per cent.<sup>48</sup> Statistics Canada does not count those who have given up looking for work as being unemployed so the actual unemployment rate is thought to be higher.<sup>49</sup>

EI, formerly termed Unemployment Insurance (UI), is the major program that historically was used by the federal government to help Canadians weather the financial risks of unemployment. In their analysis of the January 2009 federal budget, the Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives' evaluation was "the biggest single failure of the budget is in Employment Insurance."<sup>50</sup> Canada's unemployment benefits are very low compared to the vast majority of OECD nations and so fall much below the OECD average.<sup>51</sup> The maximum weekly benefit in 1996 was \$604 (in today's dollars). After a decade-long freeze on maximum insurable earnings, it is now only \$435, with the average benefit being just \$335 per week.<sup>52</sup> This is not enough to ensure that a single person is not in poverty, let alone enough to support a family.

Changes to the program have left many unemployed people unable to access benefits. While 74 per cent of unemployed workers in Canada were entitled to receive UI benefits in 1990, only 36 per cent were able to access benefits under the new EI program in 2004.<sup>53</sup> Broken down by gender, coverage for women dropped from 69 per cent in 1990 to 32 per cent in 2004.<sup>54</sup> Ontario's unemployed workers fared worse than the national average as only 26 per cent received EI in 2004 (28 per cent for men; 23 per cent for women).<sup>55</sup> In addition to women being especially affected, recent immigrants, many young people, part-time, temporary, and seasonal workers often do not have enough hours to qualify for EI, especially in large cities.<sup>56</sup> The result of the deep cuts to EI benefits paid to unemployed workers is that the EI program accumulated a surplus of \$54 billion since the mid-1990's, however, Liberal and Conservative governments placed this EI surplus off-limits for the purpose of improving EI benefits or stopping EI premium increases.<sup>57</sup>

There is a broad consensus that entrance requirements across the country should be uniform and reduced to 360 hours so that more workers will qualify. The 55 per cent benefit rate is too low a rate for many people, especially the most economically vulnerable workers with low wages and dependents.<sup>58</sup> In addition, longer benefit periods of up to 50 weeks are needed so fewer unemployed workers exhaust a claim.<sup>59</sup> Reforming the EI system so that the workers who have paid into the system can access the benefits when they need them is only just. Preventing Canadians from sinking into poverty when they lose their jobs is essential to help safeguard health and well-being as the detrimental impacts of poverty are incontrovertible. In addition to being a safety social net for those who have become unemployed, increasing access to EI benefits will serve as an economic stimulus measure<sup>60</sup> as people will spend those benefits in their communities.

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss Ontario's nurses' priorities for the next federal budget with you. Your budgetary decisions will have a major impact on the health of Canadians and on the ability of nurses across Canada to provide the best quality care for their patients. Nurses know this, and will watch your government's budgetary actions carefully.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> House of Commons Standing Committee on Finance (2009). News Release: Pre-Budget Consultations 2009. June 10, 2009. Accessed July 28, 2009: <http://www2.parl.gc.ca/HousePublications/Publication.aspx?DocId=3940900&Mode=1&Parl=40&Ses=2&Language=E>
- <sup>2</sup> Canada Department of Finance (2008). Fiscal Reference Table 8. Ottawa: Author. Due to the introduction of full accrual accounting in 1983-4, figures from prior years are not strictly comparable. Federal government program expenses over the past decade have been in the range of 12.1 per cent of GDP to 13.0 per cent, far below the share at any time since 1961-2. Retrieved July 28, 2009 [http://www.fin.gc.ca/ftt-trf/2008/ftt08\\_2-eng.asp#8](http://www.fin.gc.ca/ftt-trf/2008/ftt08_2-eng.asp#8)
- <sup>3</sup> Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2009). *OECD Factbook 2009: Economic, Environmental and Social Statistics*. Paris: Author. Canadian tax revenues have dropped from 36.4% of GDP in 1991 to 33.3% in 2006. The OECD average for 2006 was higher – 35.9%.
- <sup>4</sup> Brooks, N. and T. Hwong (2006). *The Social Benefits and Economic Costs of Taxation: A comparison of High- and Low-Tax Countries*, Ottawa: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 7-10.
- <sup>5</sup> Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. (2009). *Federal Budget 2009: CCPA Analysis*. Ottawa: Author, 3.
- <sup>6</sup> Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. (2009). *Federal Budget 2009: CCPA Analysis*. Ottawa: Author, 3.
- <sup>7</sup> Needleman, J., Buerhaus, P., Mattke, S., Stewart, M., & Zelevinsky, K. (2002). Nurse-staffing levels and the quality of care in hospitals. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 346 (22), 1715-1722.
- <sup>8</sup> Tourangeau, A.E., Giovannetti, P., Tu, J. V., & Wood, M. (2002). Nursing-related determinants of 30-day mortality for hospitalized patients. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research*, 33(4), 71-88.
- <sup>9</sup> McGillis Hall, L., Doran, D. & Pink, G. (2004). Nursing staffing models, nursing hours and patient safety outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 34(1), 41-45.
- <sup>10</sup> Horn, S., Buerhaus, P., Berstrom, N. & Smout, R. (2005). RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents: pressure ulcers and other adverse outcomes are less likely as RNs spend more time on direct patient care. *American Journal of Nursing*, 105(11), 58-70.
- <sup>11</sup> Horn, S. (2008). The business case for nursing in long-term care. *Policy, Politics, & Nursing Practice*, 9(2), 88-93.
- <sup>12</sup> Olds, D., Ecenrode, J., Henderson, C., Kitzman, H. Powers, J, Cole, R. et al. (1997). Long-term Effects of Home Visitation on Maternal Life Course and Child Abuse and Neglect. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 278, 637-643.
- <sup>13</sup> Markle-Reid, M., Weir, R., Browne, G., Roberts, J. Gafni, A., & Henderson, S. (2006). Health promotion for frail older home care clients. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 54(3), 381-395.
- <sup>14</sup> O'Brien-Pallas, L., Doran, D., Murray, M., Cockerill, R., Sidani, S., et al. (2002) Evaluation of a client care delivery model, part 2: Variability in client outcomes in community home nursing. *Nursing Economic\$,* 20(1), 13-21, 36.
- <sup>15</sup> Kane, R., Shamliyan, T., Mueller, C., Duval, S., & Wilt, T. (2007). The association of registered nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medical Care*. 45 (12), 1197.
- <sup>16</sup> Cowan, M., Shapiro, M., Hays, R., Afifi, A., Vazirani, S., Ward, C., et. al. (2006). The effect of a multidisciplinary hospitalist/physician and advanced practice nurse collaboration on hospital costs. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 36(2), 79-85.
- <sup>17</sup> DiCenso, A., & Matthews, S., (2005). *Report on the Integration of Primary health care Nurse Practitioners in the province of Ontario: Executive Summary (revised)*. Hamilton: IBM & McMaster University.
- <sup>18</sup> Bourbeau, J., Julien, M., Maltais, F., Rouleau, M., Beaupre, A., Begin, R., Renzi, P., Nault, D., Borycki, E., Schwartzman, K., Singh, R., Collet, J. (2003). Reduction of hospital utilization in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a disease-specific self-management intervention. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 163(5), 585-591.
- <sup>19</sup> Wong, F., & Chung, L. (2005). Establishing a definition for a nurse-led clinic: Structure, process and outcome. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 53(3), 358-369.



- 
- <sup>20</sup> Chan, M., Yee, A., Leung, E. & Day, M. (2006). The effectiveness of a diabetes nurse clinic in treating older patients with type 2 diabetes for their glycaemic control. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 15, 770-781.
- <sup>21</sup> Denver, E., Barnard, M., Woolfson, R. & Earle, K. (2003). Management of uncontrolled hypertension in a nurse-led clinic compared with conventional care for patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 26(8), 2256-2260.
- <sup>22</sup> Data is from the Registered Nurses Database, Canadian Institute for Health Information. Canadian Nurses Association (2008). *2006 Workforce Profile of Registered Nurses in Canada*. Ottawa: Author, 3, and from Canadian Institute for Health Information (2008). *Regulated Nurses: Trends 2003-2007*. Ottawa: Author, 23.
- <sup>23</sup> Ibid, 21.
- <sup>24</sup> Ibid. 7.
- <sup>25</sup> Canadian Nurses Association (2009). *Tested Solutions for Eliminating Canada's Registered Nurse Shortage*. Ottawa: Author, iii.
- <sup>26</sup> Emphasis added. Canadian Nurses Association (2009). *Tested Solutions for Eliminating Canada's Registered Nurse Shortage*. Ottawa: Author, iii.
- <sup>27</sup> Canadian Nurses Association and Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing. (2008). *Nursing Education in Canada Statistics: 2006-2007*. Ottawa: Author, 5.
- <sup>28</sup> Canadian Nurses Association and Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing. (2008), 4.
- <sup>29</sup> Fraser, R. (No Date). Number of physicians, dentists and nurses, population per physician, dentist, and nurse, number of graduates of medical and dental schools and nursing programs, immigration and emigration of physicians, Canada, 1871 to 1975, Table B82-92. Ottawa: Statistics Canada. Accessed July 29, 2009 from <http://www.statcan.ca/english/freepub/11-516-XIE/sectionb/sectionb.htm>
- <sup>30</sup> Canadian Nurses Association and Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing. (2008), 5.
- <sup>31</sup> Canadian Nurses Association and Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing. (2008), 5.
- <sup>32</sup> Canadian Nurses Association. (2005) *Position Statement: National Planning for Human Resources in the Health Sector*. Ottawa: Author, 3.
- <sup>33</sup> Canadian Nurses Association. (2005), 3.
- <sup>34</sup> Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing. (2005). *Brief to the House of Commons Standing Committee on Finance*. Ottawa: Author.
- <sup>35</sup> Canadian Nurses Association and Canadian Association of Schools of Nursing. (2008). *Nursing Education in Canada Statistics: 2006-2007*. Ottawa: Author, 9.
- <sup>36</sup> Grinspun, D. (2003). Part-time and casual nursing work: The perils of health-care restructuring. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 23 (8/9), 54-70.
- <sup>37</sup> Estabrooks, C. A., Midodzi, W. K., Cummings, G. G., Ricker, K. L., & Giovannetti, P. (2005). The impact of hospital nursing characteristics on 30-day mortality. *Nursing Research*, 54 (2), 74-84.
- <sup>38</sup> O'Brien-Pallas, L., Thomson, D., Hall, M. L., Pink, G., Kerr, M., Wang, S., et al. (2004). *Evidence-based standards for measuring nurse staffing and performance*. Ottawa: Canadian Health Services Research Foundation.
- <sup>39</sup> Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2005). *The 70 per cent solution: A progress report on increasing full-time employment for Ontario RNs*. Toronto: Author.
- <sup>40</sup> College of Nurses of Ontario. (2008). *Membership Statistics Report 2008*. Toronto: Author, 9.
- <sup>41</sup> Marmot, M. & Wilkinson, R. (Eds.) (1999). *Social Determinants of Health*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- <sup>42</sup> Raphael, D. (Ed.) (2009). *Social Determinants of Health: Canadian Perspectives*. Toronto: Canadian Scholars' Press, Inc.
- <sup>43</sup> Lightman, E., Mitchell, A., & Wilson, B. (2008) *Poverty is Making Us Sick: A Comprehensive Survey of Income and Health in Canada*. Toronto: Community Social Planning Council of Toronto and the Wellesley Institute, 25.
- <sup>44</sup> Butler-Jones, D. (2008). *The Chief Public Health Officer's Report on the State of Public Health in Canada, 2008*. Ottawa: Public Health Agency of Canada, 37.
- <sup>45</sup> Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (2009). Oral and written submissions on the federal contribution to reducing poverty to the Standing Committee on Human Resources, Skills and Social Development and the Status of Persons with Disabilities. June 2, 2009 Toronto: Author. [http://www.rnao.org/Page.asp?PageID=122&ContentID=2954&SiteNodeID=398&BL\\_ExpandID=](http://www.rnao.org/Page.asp?PageID=122&ContentID=2954&SiteNodeID=398&BL_ExpandID=)
- <sup>46</sup> Statistics Canada (2009). *Labour Force Information: June 2009*. Catalogue no. 71-001-X. Ottawa: Author, 24.
- <sup>47</sup> Statistics Canada (2009). *Labour Force Information: June 2009*. Catalogue no. 71-001-X. Ottawa: Author, 28.
- <sup>48</sup> Statistics Canada (2009). *Labour Force Information: June 2009*. Catalogue no. 71-001-X. Ottawa: Author, 42.



- 
- <sup>49</sup> Macdonald, D. (2009). *Too Little Too Late: Federal Stimulus Budget Eclipsed by Job Losses*. Ottawa: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 2.
- <sup>50</sup> Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives (2009). *Federal Budget 2009: CCPA Analysis*. Ottawa: Author, 1.
- <sup>51</sup> Osberg, L. (2009). *Canada's Declining Social Safety Net: The Case for EI Reform*. Ottawa: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 3.
- <sup>52</sup> Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. *Alternative Federal Budget 2009 Beyond the Crisis: A Budget for a Strong and Sustainable Future*. Ottawa: Author, 129.
- <sup>53</sup> Townsen, M. & Hayes, K. (2007). *Women and the Employment Insurance Act*. Toronto: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 4.
- <sup>54</sup> Townsen, M. & Hayes, K. (2007). *Women and the Employment Insurance Act*. Toronto: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 4.
- <sup>55</sup> Townsen, M. & Hayes, K. (2007). *Women and the Employment Insurance Act*. Toronto: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 11.
- <sup>56</sup> Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. *Alternative Federal Budget 2009 Beyond the Crisis: A Budget for a Strong and Sustainable Future*. Ottawa: Author, 129.
- <sup>57</sup> Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. *Alternative Federal Budget 2009 Beyond the Crisis: A Budget for a Strong and Sustainable Future*. Ottawa: Author, 130.
- <sup>58</sup> Yalnizyan, A. (2009). *Exposed: Revealing Truths About Canada's Recession*. Ottawa: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 38.
- <sup>59</sup> Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. *Alternative Federal Budget 2009 Beyond the Crisis: A Budget for a Strong and Sustainable Future*. Ottawa: Author, 130.
- <sup>60</sup> Macdonald, D. (2009). *Too Little Too Late: Federal Stimulus Budget Eclipsed by Job Losses*. Ottawa: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 5.