



Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario
L'Association des infirmières et infirmiers
autorisés de l'Ontario



Position Statement

Strengthening Client

Centred Care in Home Care

Adopted by the RNAO Board of Directors, Feb 5, 2011

Position

Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (RNAO) strongly supports the development of home care¹ services utilizing a client centred care model in which Ontarians have access to continuity of care and continuity of caregiver from a primary nurse in the most appropriate setting. RNAO also strongly endorses strengthening inter-professional care so all health disciplines work closely together to support high quality client care, ensuring the right care is provided to the right client in the right place by the right care provider. Whether for-profit or not-for-profit, home care organizations must ensure the appropriate nursing care delivery model, skill-mix, and access to Registered Nurses (RNs). Adherence to the principles and spirit of Medicare are paramount to optimal client, staff, organizational and system outcomes. Excellence in client centred home care is supported by four pillars:

- Nursing care delivery models that advance continuity of care and continuity of caregiver by assigning each client one principal nurse,² that nurse being an RN or a Registered Practical Nurse (RPN) working to full scope of practice and accountable for delivering the total nursing care required by the client;
- Assignment of the most appropriate caregiver based on the client's complexity of care needs and the degree to which the client's outcomes are stable and predictable, with RNs assigned total nursing care for complex and/or unstable clients with unpredictable outcomes, and RPNs assigned total nursing care for stable clients with predictable outcomes. Clients whose condition is unclear remain under the care of RNs to prevent shifting a client back and forth between RNs and RPNs. When unregulated staff are utilized, they are assigned to assist RNs or RPNs where appropriate and under their supervision, avoiding disruption of the continuity of care provided by the assigned nurse;
- Workforce stability, by achieving 70 per cent full-time employment for all nurses, supports continuity of care and continuity of caregiver, improves intra and inter-professional team work, reduces costs and facilitates staff satisfaction and retention; and
- Investment in publically funded not-for-profit home care services supports universal access to necessary home care when and where it is needed in the spirit of the *Canada Health Act*.

Background

Vibrant communities depend on everyone having the opportunity to live at home with

dignity as independently as possible whatever their background, wherever they live and whenever possible. Home care

agencies support vibrant communities by providing a range of services from post-hospitalization follow-up to homemaking and personal support services. These services enable people with acute and chronic conditions to remain active and strong members of our community.

In 1997 the provincial government of the day instituted managed competition as the sole service delivery model in Ontario's home health care system. In January 2008, Hamilton residents protested the disqualification of two long-standing not-for-profit home care agencies in the bidding process; namely the Victorian Order of Nurses (VON) and St. Joseph's Home Care.^{3 4 5} A province-wide moratorium was subsequently placed on the competitive bidding of home care contracts. In December 2008, the government chose to resume competitive bidding and quietly lifted the moratorium. Various accountability measures were announced at the time and accreditation is now required for all service contracts.⁶ There are, however, no current plans to issue Request for Proposals (RFPs), subject to Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC) Directives for CCAC Procurement.⁷ Instead, contracts are being extended based on compliance with CCAC requirements. An integrated strategy is required to avoid unnecessary system costs. Increasing access to home care and community services, for example, enables patients in alternative level of care (ALC) beds to leave hospital sooner, availing beds to patients in emergency rooms who are waiting to be admitted to hospital.⁸ In 2009, 50,000 patients stayed longer than necessary in hospital waiting for discharge care to be arranged. This waste accounted for 16 per cent of all hospital patient days.⁹

Competitive bidding is antithetical to client centred care as it inevitably leads to home care contracts awarded on the basis of price rather than quality. Ontario remains the only province relying exclusively on competitive bidding and home care is the only health sector in Ontario where direct care is contracted out.¹⁰ North American

jurisdictions that have implemented competitive bidding conclude this model reduces wage costs but generates higher turnover and reduces continuity of care.^{11 12}

Successful models of care delivery across the country should be considered instead, including innovative models such as the publicly administered and cost-effective SIPA (French acronym for *Système de services Intégrés pour Personnes Agées en perte d'autonomie*) model in Quebec.^{13 14}

Access to Registered Nurses

Access to registered nurses in all sectors is essential to achieve optimal health outcomes. While access to RNs and home care services is increasing in certain LHINs, access is not equal across the province.^{15 16} ¹⁷ When health care restructuring occurred in the 1990's unprecedented cuts were made to home care services awarded to various agencies by Ontario's Community Care Access Centres (CCACs). Since that time home care funding has fluctuated with little consistency in service delivery expectations or quality indicators. Currently health care dollars are severely limited leading certain CCACs to restrict funding for much needed nursing and personal care services. This restriction has dramatically increased service wait times and effectively reduced required access to nurses.^{18 19}

Client centred care requires a reconceptualization of the client as one who lives within a family unit. This concept prompts recognition that restricting access to RNs inevitably shifts care responsibilities to family members. Often families do not have sufficient resources to manage the burden of care in addition to their other responsibilities. The additional burden may cause detrimental effects on family well-being as well as increased safety risks and diminished quality of care for clients. Advances in treatments and technology, limited resources, decreased length of hospital stay, increased day surgery treatment and changes in consumer expectation have significantly increased the care requirements of today's community

client.²⁰ While there is limited evidence^{21 22 23 24} that relates care provided by RNs with better health outcomes in home care, convincing evidence from both hospital and LTC sectors demonstrate that increasing the proportion of RNs is effective in improving client outcomes and reducing cost.^{25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34}

RNAO Best Practice Guidelines

RNAO has developed evidence-based Healthy Work Environment Best Practice Guidelines (BPGs) that, when applied, serve to support the excellence in service that home care nurses are committed to delivering. Relevant guidelines include: Developing and Sustaining Effective Staffing and Workload Practices,³⁵ and Collaborative Practice among Nursing Teams.³⁶ These BPGs should be used as markers in all staffing and scheduling practices and models of nursing care delivery.

RNAO also has numerous clinical BPGs relevant to community health nursing. These include:

- Client Centred Care,³⁷
- Decision Support for Adults: Living with Chronic Kidney Disease,³⁸
- Reducing Foot Complications for People with Diabetes,³⁹
- Supporting and Strengthening Families through Expected and Unexpected Life Events.⁴⁰
- Assessment and Management of Pain,⁴¹
- And many others^{42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52}

Definitions

For the purpose of the *Strengthening Client Centred Care in Home Care Position Statement*, the following BPG definitions apply:

Client centred care: “an approach in which clients are viewed as whole persons. It is not merely about delivering services where the client is located. Client centred care involves advocacy, empowerment, and respecting the client’s autonomy, voice, self-determination and participation in decision-making.”⁵³

Skill mix: “the distribution of nursing personnel per skill category (i.e. RN, RPN) and per skill level.”⁵⁴

Four Pillars Strengthening Client Centred Care:

Pillar 1: Continuity of Care & Continuity of Caregiver

Continuity of care and continuity of caregiver are fundamental to client centred care. As set out in RNAO’s Client Centred Care Best Practice Guideline,⁵⁵ continuity of care and continuity of caregiver enables nurses to provide holistic client care, facilitate coordination of services based on changing client needs, and create clear accountability. Researchers and decision-makers are currently examining continuity of care as a variable in quality care outcomes. In one recent Ontario-wide home care study⁵⁶ involving 740 home care clients and 700 nurses, clients received, on average, 67 per cent of their visits from the same nurse. Only 22 per cent of clients had all of their visits by the same nurse and only 34 per cent of the sample had 80 per cent or more of their visits by the same nurse.

Consistency of care provider is challenging in home care since clients may require more than one visit per day, require weekend care, are admitted on a Friday or Saturday, or the level of client predictability, stability or complexity changes resulting in a transfer of care from RN to RPN or vice versa. Continuity of a principal caregiver is important to client care because the nurse must keep track of changes, or a lack thereof, in the client’s condition. They must also detect subtle changes in the client’s appearance or demeanor that indicate

changing client needs and note accurate observations when communicating with the physician about any deterioration in the client's health.⁵⁷

One consequence of competitive bidding is that many clients risk being shuffled to a different agency to begin a new therapeutic relationship with a new nurse.⁵⁸ Rural and elderly populations are especially affected by this loss of continuity as nursing and home visits are an important source of social support and interaction. In these instances, managed competition reduces the client's power by discontinuing therapeutic relationships and diminishing the client's control over their choice of care provider. One recent study found a significant relationship between longer service contracts and greater consistency of principal nurse visits,⁵⁹ suggesting that nurse scheduling and assignment may be optimized by fewer contract changes. Currently, "independent CCAC client satisfaction surveys indicate that home care clients are generally satisfied with the services they receive".⁶⁰

While CCACs have set standards for continuity and the acceptable number of caregivers for each client, based on number of visits required per month, home care clients may soon experience care provided by a team of practitioners based on their particular condition(s) / diagnosis rather than a principal care provider such as an RN. The Integrated Client Care Project⁶¹ announced in 2009 as a key component of Minister Caplan's "Strengthening Home Care Services in Ontario", focuses on "specialization, integration and coordination". This new model may improve quality of care for clients while providing nurses with more autonomy in clinical decision-making and time allowances. Throughout the inevitable restructuring it will be important for health care practitioners and service providers to ensure holistic care is provided and continuity of care and continuity of caregiver remain optimized.

Pillar 2: Most Appropriate Care Provider

Choosing the most appropriate care provider based on the complexity of client's care needs and the predictability of the client's outcomes is central to client centred care and ensures clear accountabilities:

1. Each client is assigned one principal nurse⁶² (RN or RPN) who works to his/her full scope of practice and is responsible and accountable for delivering the total nursing care required by that client;
2. The client's assignment of an RN or an RPN is based on the level of complexity of the client's condition, care requirements and predictability of the client's outcomes, with RNs assigned the total nursing care for complex or unstable clients with unpredictable outcomes and RPNs assigned the total nursing care for stable clients with predictable outcomes;
3. Clients whose condition is unclear remain under the care of an RN to prevent shifting clients back and forth between RNs and RPNs; and,
4. Unregulated staff assist the RN or RPN as appropriate and under their supervision, without disrupting the continuity of care provided by the assigned nurse.
5. RUG (Resource Utilization Group) scores derived from RAI MDS (Resident Assessment Instrument Minimum Data Set) Community Health Assessments (CHA) indicate the intensity and complexity of care that long-stay clients receive; a useful tool in determining which client should receive care by RN vs RPN or UCP.⁶³

Funding policies that have given rise to competitive bidding and privatization of services have caused unwarranted introduction of inappropriate skill mix

applications. RNs must always assess the complexity, stability and predictability of each admission to home care services in order to coordinate safe and high quality care. This is particularly warranted in post-hospitalization and post-surgical admissions. Use of eligibility screening-tools⁶⁴ by non-regulated staff to prioritize clients' health care needs and to select service providers is inadequate for a safe and comprehensive assessment. Safe and high quality community nursing assessments require fostering client trust within a therapeutic nurse-client relationship. Once a relationship is established, a tremendous degree of skilled judgement is required to conduct a comprehensive assessment that sufficiently identifies care needs that are not always obvious or stated at intake. RNs who assess and continue caring for clients who are complex, unstable and unpredictable are exemplifying continuity of care and continuity of caregiver. RNs who recognize within their assessment, the need for a timely referral of care to an RPN or UCP are also exemplifying this care. In this manner, continuity of care and continuity of caregiver allows every health care provider to participate in and be accountable for the entire care process; essential for client safety, quality outcomes and staff satisfaction.

The assumption that RN care is financially unsustainable is not supported by the available, albeit, limited evidence.^{65 66 67} According to one recent University of Toronto report, the percentage of RN visits in home care was positively related to better emotional and social functional outcomes for clients.⁶⁸ Home care agencies seeking to improve quality while reducing risk and unnecessary costs associated with staff turnover should be looking at strengthening their full-time RN workforce.

Pillar 3: Workforce Stability

Continuity of care and continuity of caregiver must be supported by full-time employment practices in all sectors.⁶⁹ A

level of 70 per cent full-time employment for all nurses is considered the minimal condition for ensuring continuity of care and continuity of caregiver for clients.⁷⁰ Evidence shows that workforce stability, with higher proportions of full-time RN staff, is significantly associated with continuity of care and continuity of caregiver, and with lower mortality rates and improved client outcomes.⁷¹ Conversely, excessive use of part-time and casual employment for RNs is associated with decreased morale,⁷² an unstable workforce where nurses move to other jurisdictions to find full-time work,^{73 74} disengagement among nurses,^{75 76} and lack of continuity of care for clients.^{77 78}

The number of home care visiting nurses in Ontario decreased between 1999 and 2009 from 7546 to 5,007 despite increased population and increased need for community health services. In the same ten year period casual positions decreased from 30.7 per cent to 19.3 per cent and FT positions increased from 36.2 per cent to 48.7 per cent.⁷⁹ While encouraging, this percentage of FT RNs is well below the total nursing average of 65.4 per cent FT employment.⁸⁰ The proportion of PT visiting nurses has remained steady over the past 10 years at 32 per cent; however 16 per cent of PT community nurses would prefer FT employment.^{81 82} Given this demand, home care FT employment could reach as high as 64.7 per cent. In stark contrast to home visiting nurses, the total number of CCAC nurses / case managers increased from 1668 to 3281 in the past decade moving from 68.6 per cent to 73.6 per cent FT work.⁸³ Increasing the number of CCAC nurses while decreasing visiting nursing positions may reduce, or at least be perceived as reducing, funds available to ensure accessibility and appropriate workloads of direct care staff.

With the implementation of managed competition and concurrent privatization, cost-cutting measures have resulted in the intensification of work in home health care. This has impacted workforce stability in a

profound way. According to provider organizations, threats to workforce stability include: challenges to meet goals with insufficient funding; high turnover rates (home care had an attrition rate of 15 per cent in 2007);⁸⁴ difficulties in recruitment; an aging workforce (average age is 45);⁸⁵ lack of regular hours; and, a large disparity in compensation between home care and other health care sectors.^{86 87} Wage disparity led OHHCPA and OCSA to recommend a 50 per cent increase in compensation in 2000.⁸⁸ Many providers have suggested there should be an ongoing mechanism to review CCAC / Ministry base funding to avoid cyclical wage disparity between sectors.⁸⁹

While wages remain low, workload has risen sharply. Frontline staff is being asked to provide an increased level of service in a reduced amount of time. Nursing visits from 2008 to 2009 increased by 89,055, while 46 fewer nurses were employed.⁹⁰ The marginally increased FT employment during this time could not have addressed the increased number and acuity of home care visits. Intensifying work by coordinating clinics to serve multiple clients at the same time may be justified as long as clinics succeed in meeting clients' individual needs and the nurse-client therapeutic relationship is preserved. Recent research shows that nurses paid on a per-visit basis rather than an hourly basis were less satisfied with their job⁹¹. Those paid hourly were more satisfied with their time for care suggesting work intensification without reciprocal social and monetary rewards may lead to low staff morale.⁹² Researchers have pointed to high levels of stress, burnout, and physical health problems, concluding that restructuring home care services resulted in numerous work environment concerns that may be leading to decreased job satisfaction, increased absenteeism, and increasing fear of job loss along with a stronger propensity to leave.⁹³

Certain retention and recruitment efforts in home care have served to weaken client

centred care and must be eliminated. Despite RNAO's attempts to eliminate the "elect-to-work" model, and the MOHLTC's recommendation to do the same,⁹⁴ this practice continues to date and in 2007 replaced approximately 788 FTE RNs with casual / elect to work staff.⁹⁵ Retention and recruitment efforts instead should focus on the reasons nurses choose home care: flexible hours; dissatisfaction with institutional nursing; ability to have 1:1 relationships with clients and not feel rushed; preference for independence in practice; avoid night shifts; enjoy the variety; minimal weekend work; and more time with family.⁹⁶

Pillar 4: Publically Funded and Not-for-profit Home Care Services

The percentage volume of nursing services provided by for-profit provider agencies rose from 18 per cent in 1995 to 46 per cent in 2001. By 1997 for-profit businesses were awarded more than 50 per cent⁹⁷ of the Ontario contracts. Since the rise of for-profit agencies managed competition has been diverting funds away from direct care and into costs associated with the competitive bidding process and into the pockets of shareholders. Lower wages and reduced access to adequate supplies are noted more often in for-profit than in not-for-profit Canadian home care agencies.⁹⁸

While for-profit businesses are praised for their incentive to be cost-conscious and innovate, not-for-profit providers compete with a distinct disadvantage. Many not-for-profit providers have a long term employment history and when the employer loses a contract, they are subject to very high severance payouts. For-profit agencies rely more on a casual pool of workers and are not impacted to the same degree financially.⁹⁹ According to one study, more nurses from not-for-profit agencies were employed on a full-time basis than for-profit agencies (35 per cent versus 26 per cent).¹⁰⁰

Additional Organizational Processes

Additional organizational supports that strengthen client centred care in home care and strengthen inter-professional collaboration include: 1) nurse managers with a span of control that supports their engagement with staff;¹⁰¹ and 2) better utilizing the knowledge and skills of registered nurses in different roles, such as Nurse Practitioners (NPs)¹⁰² and Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNSs).¹⁰³ As clients are being discharged from hospital at a more acute stage of recovery, or are waiting for placement into a long term care home, the sustainability of the home care workforce is critical to the sustainability of health care in Ontario and the health of Ontarians.

The anticipated demand for home care services and nurses in home care is expected to increase to 9 per cent of the total nurse demand by 2020.¹⁰⁴ This requires the development of both short and long term strategies to ensure nursing human resources will be able to meet growing client care needs in the community.¹⁰⁵ A comprehensive national home care strategy following the same principles and spirit of the *Canada Health Act* enables people to live with dignity and as independently as possible in their own communities. Current initiatives, such as the Integrated Client Care Project, provide hope for a more effective, integrated, funded and balanced era of home care service delivery in the near future.

Conclusion

Evidence suggests that nursing models of care that advance continuity of care and continuity of caregiver from the most appropriate nurse ensure safe, high-quality client centred care. The most appropriate principal nurse, RN or RPN, is assigned based on the client's complexity and care needs and the degree to which the client's outcomes are predictable. Altering evidence-based skill-mix applications to

employ less skilled staff compromises nursing practice and client outcomes. Service providers must stabilize the home care workforce by employing a ministry mandated 70 per cent full time workforce of nursing staff equitably compensated at parity with nurses who provide care in hospital settings. Finally, the government must consider the overwhelming evidence against managed competition and advocate for a comprehensive national home care strategy that supports readily accessible publically funded not-for-profit home care services to enable people to live with dignity and as independently as possible in their communities.

References

- ¹ RNAO has adopted the Nursing Health Services Research Unit's definition of Home Health nursing: "a specialized area of nursing practice in which the nurse provides care in the client's home, school, or workplace" found in the Nursing Health Services Research Unit report on Home Health Nurses in Ontario, 1999-2009 (2010). Author: Hamilton. RNAO is developing Position Statements on strengthening client centred care in non-home care settings.
- ² The principal nurse is an individual nurse who makes the majority of visits over a client's home care stay as defined in Doran, D., Pickard, J., Harris, J., Coyte, P., MacRae, A., Laschinger, H., Darlington, G. & Carryer, J. (2007). The relationship between characteristics of home care nursing service contracts under managed competition and continuity of care and client outcomes: Evidence from Ontario. *Healthcare Policy*, 2(4), 97-113.
- ³ Doering, J. (2008, January 9). The home care selection process. *Hamilton Spectator*. Retrieved January 18, 2010 from <http://www.thespec.com/article/306632>
- ⁴ Thomas, W. (2008, January 10). Quality of health care in jeopardy; competitive bidding system for nursing visits hurts clients, creates shortages of skilled workers. *Hamilton Spectator*. Retrieved January 18, 2010 from <http://www.thespec.com/article>
- ⁵ Nolan, D. (2008, January 17). 1,500 pack hall to back VON, St Jo caregivers. *Hamilton Spectator*. Retrieved January 18, 2010 from <http://www.thespec.com/article/310800>
- ⁶ Tuija Purias, (November 19, 2010). *Community Care Access Centre Memo: Contracted Service Provider Accreditation Requirements*. Retrieved December 17, 2010 from <http://www.ccac-ont.ca/Upload/on/General/Memopercent20Regardingpercent20Accreditationpercent20Bodies.pdf>
- ⁷ Tuija Purias, (December 6, 2010). Ontario Association of Community Care Access Centres Memo: CCAC Procurement Update. Retrieved December 21, 2010 at <http://www.ccac-ont.ca/Upload/on/General/SPApercent20Procurementpercent20Updatepercent20Decemberpercent202010.pdf>
- ⁸ Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. (2009, May 19). *News Release: Ontario Transforming Home and Community*

Care for Seniors. Retrieved January 18, 2010 from http://www.health.gov.on.ca/english/media/news_releases/archives/nr_09/may/nr_20090519.html

⁹ Office of the Auditor General. (December 6, 2010). 2010 Annual Report. Retrieved December 17, 2010 at http://www.auditor.on.ca/en/reports_2010_en.htm

¹⁰ Ontario Health Coalition. (2008). Home care: Change we need. Retrieved August 21, 2010 from <http://www.web.net/~ohc/homecarereportnov1708.pdf>

¹¹ Schlesinger, M., Dorwart, R., & Pulice, R. (1986). Competitive bidding and states' purchase of services: The case of mental health care in Massachusetts. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 5, 245-263.

¹² Shapiro, E. (1997). *The cost of privatisation: A case study of home care in Manitoba*. Winnipeg: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives.

¹³ Beland, F., Bergman, H., Lebel, P., Clarfield, A., Tousignant, P., Contandriopoulos, A. & Dallaire, L. (2006). A system of integrated care for older persons with disabilities in Canada: Results from a randomized controlled trial. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, biological sciences and medical sciences*, 61(4), 367-373.

¹⁴ Beland, F., Bergman, H., Lebel, P., Dallaire, F., Fletcher, J., Contrandriopoulos, A. & Tousignant, P. (2006). Integrated services for frail elders (SIPA): A trial of a model for Canada. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 25(1), 5-42.

¹⁵ Johanna Weidner, (December 15, 2010). Home care services vary across the province but need is across the board. *Guelph Mercury*,

¹⁶ Office of the Auditor General. (December 6, 2010). 2010 Annual Report. Retrieved December 17, 2010 at http://www.auditor.on.ca/en/reports_2010_en.htm

¹⁷ Ontario Health Quality Council (2010). *Home Care Reporting*. Retrieved December 21, 2010 at http://www.ohqc.ca/en/hc_landing.php

¹⁸ Ableson, J., Gold, S., Woodward, C., O'Connor, D., & Hutchinson, B. (2004). Managing under managed community care: The experiences of clients, providers and managers in Ontario's competitive home care sector. *Health Policy*, 68, 359-372.

¹⁹ Ontario Health Quality Council (2010). *Home Care Reporting*. Retrieved December 21, 2010 at http://www.ohqc.ca/en/hc_landing.php

²⁰ Nursing Effectiveness, Utilization and Outcomes Research Unit. (2004). Final report: July 1, 1999 – June 30, 2004. Toronto: Author.

²¹ Doran, D., Pickard, J., Harris, J., Coyte, P., MacRae, A., Laschinger, H., Darlington, G. & Carryer, J. (2007). The relationship between characteristics of home care nursing service contracts under managed competition and continuity of care and client outcomes: Evidence from Ontario. *Healthcare Policy*, 2(4), 97-113.

²² Pringle, D. (2006). Home Care: We want More. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Leadership*, 19(1), 1-3.

²³ O'Brien-Pallas, L., Doran, D., Murray, M., Cockerill, R., Sidani, S., et al. (2002) Evaluation of a client care delivery model, part 2: Variability in client outcomes in community home nursing. *Nursing Economics*, 20(1), 13-21, 36.

²⁴ Markle-Reid, M., Weir, R., Browne, G., Roberts, J. Gafni, A., & Henderson, S. (2006). Health promotion for frail older home care clients. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 54(3), 381-395.

²⁵ Needleman, J., Buerhaus, P., Matke, S., Stewart, M., & Zelevinsky, K. (2002). Nurse-staffing levels and the quality of care in hospitals. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 346 (22), 1715-1722.

²⁶ Tourangeau, A.E., Giovannetti, P., Tu, J. V., & Wood, M. (2002). Nursing-related determinants of 30-day mortality for hospitalized clients. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research*, 33(4), 71-88

²⁷ McGillis Hall, L., Doran, D. & Pink, G. (2004). Nursing staffing models, nursing hours and client safety outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 34(1), 41-45.

²⁸ Horn, S., Buerhaus, P., Berstrom, N. & Smout, R. (2005). RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents: pressure ulcers and other adverse outcomes are less likely when RNs spend more time on direct client care. *American Journal of Nursing*, 105(11), 58-70.

²⁹ Horn, S. (2008). The business case for nursing in long-term care. *Policy, Politics, & Nursing Practice*, 9(2), 88-93.

³⁰ Horn, S., Buerhaus, P., Berstrom, N. & Smout, R. (2005). RN staffing time and outcomes of long-stay nursing home residents: pressure ulcers and other adverse outcomes are less likely when RNs spend more time on direct resident care. *American Journal of Nursing*, 105(11), 58-70.

³¹ Mezey, M. & Harrington, C. (2005). Addressing the dramatic decline in RN staffing in nursing homes. *American Journal of Nursing*, 105(9), 25.

³² Intrator, O., Zinn, J. & Mor, V. (2004). Nursing home characteristics and potentially preventable hospitalizations of long-stay residents. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 52, 1730-1736.

³³ Kim, H.S., Kovner, C., Harrington, C., Greene, W. & Mezey, M. (2009). A panel data analysis of the relationships of nursing home staffing levels and standards to regulatory deficiencies. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*. 64B(2), 269-278. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbn019

³⁴ Ouslander, J., Lamb, G., Perloe, M., Givens, J., Kluge, L., Rutland, T., Atherly, A. & Saliba, D. (2010). Potentially avoidable hospitalizations of nursing home residents: Frequency, causes and costs. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 58, 627-635.

³⁵ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2007) *Developing and Sustaining Effective Staffing and Workload Practices*. Toronto: Author.

³⁶ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2006). *Collaborative Practice among Nursing Teams Best Practice Guideline*. Toronto: Author.

³⁷ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (2006). *Client Centred Care Best Practice Guideline*. Toronto: Author

³⁸ Registered Nurses Association of Ontario. (2009). *Decision Support for Adults Living with Chronic Kidney Disease*. Toronto: Author.

³⁹ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2007). *Reducing Foot Complications for People with Diabetes*. Toronto: Author.

⁴⁰ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2006). *Supporting and strengthening families through expected and unexpected life events*. Toronto: Author.

⁴¹ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2007). *Assessment and management of pain*. Toronto: Author.

⁴² Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. *BPG for the Subcutaneous Administration of Insulin in Adults with Type 2 Diabetes*. Toronto: Author.

⁴³ Registered Nurses Association of Ontario. (2010). *Nursing care of dyspnea: The sixth vital sign in individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)*. Toronto: Author.

⁴⁴ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2005). *Assessment and Management of Foot Ulcers for People with Diabetes*. Toronto: Author.

⁴⁵ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2009). *Nursing management of hypertension*. Toronto: Author.

- ⁴⁶ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2009). *Ostomy Care and Management*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁴⁷ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2006). *Oral health: Nursing assessment and intervention*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁴⁸ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2007). *Assessment and management of stage I to IV pressure ulcers*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁴⁹ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2007). *Assessment and management of venous leg ulcers*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁵⁰ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2005). *Stroke assessment across the continuum of care*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁵¹ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2007). *Suicidal ideation and behavior*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁵² Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2006). *Establishing therapeutic relationships*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁵³ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (2006). *Client Centred Care Best Practice Guideline*. Toronto: Author, 12.
- ⁵⁴ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2007) *Developing and Sustaining Effective Staffing and Workload Practices*. Toronto: Author, 84.
- ⁵⁵ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (2006). *Client Centred Care Best Practice Guideline*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁵⁶ Doran, D & Pickard, J. (2004). Management and delivery of community-based nursing services in Ontario: Impact of the quality of care and quality of worklife of community-based nurses. Toronto: Author.
- ⁵⁷ Woodward, C., Abelson, J., Tedford, S., Hutchison, B. (2004). What is important to continuity in home care? Perspectives of key stakeholders. *Social Science & Medicine*, 58(1), 177-192.
- ⁵⁸ Abelson, J., Gold, S.T., Woodward, C., O'Connor, D., & Hutchison, B. (2004). Managing under managed community care: The experiences of clients, providers, and managers in Ontario's competitive home care sector. *Health Policy*, 68, 359-372.
- ⁵⁹ Doran, D., Pickard, J., Harris, J., Coyte, P., MacRae, A., Laschinger, H., Darlington, G. & Carryer, J. (2007). The relationship between characteristics of home care nursing service contracts under managed competition and continuity of care and client outcomes: Evidence from Ontario. *Healthcare Policy*, 2(4), 97-113.
- ⁶⁰ Office of the Auditor General of Ontario (2010). *2010 Annual Report*. Retrieved January 10, 2011 at <http://www.auditor.on.ca/en/default.htm>, p. 13.
- ⁶¹ OCCAC website – Integrated Client Care Project. Retrieved June 10, 2010 from <http://www.ccaac-ont.ca/Content.aspx?EnterpriseID=15&LanguageID=1&MenuID=1054>
- ⁶² The principal nurse is an individual nurse who makes the majority of visits over a client's home care stay as defined in Doran, D., Pickard, J., Harris, J., Coyte, P., MacRae, A., Laschinger, H., Darlington, G. & Carryer, J. (2007). The relationship between characteristics of home care nursing service contracts under managed competition and continuity of care and client outcomes: Evidence from Ontario. *Healthcare Policy*, 2(4), 97-113.
- ⁶³ Personal communication with Jeff Poss and John Hirdes, University of Waterloo, September 24, 2010.
- ⁶⁴ Ceci, C. (2006). Impoverishment of practice: Analysis of effects of economic discourse in home care case management practice. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Leadership*, 19(1), 56-68.
- ⁶⁵ McGillis Hall, L., Doran, D. & Pink, G. (2004). Nursing staffing models, nursing hours and client safety outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 34(1), 41-45.
- ⁶⁶ O'Brien-Pallas, L., Doran, D., Murray, M., Cockerill, R., Sidani, S., et al. (2002) Evaluation of a client care delivery model, part 2: Variability in client outcomes in community home nursing. *Nursing Economics*, 20(1), 13-21, 36.
- ⁶⁷ Dall, T., Chen Y., Seifert, R., Maddox, P., Hogan, P. (2009). The Economic Value of Professional Nursing. *Medical Care*. 47(1), 97-104
- ⁶⁸ Doran, D. & Pickard, J. (2004). Management and delivery of community nursing services in Ontario: Impact on the quality of care and quality of worklife of community-based nurses. Toronto: Author.
- ⁶⁹ Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario. (2005). *The 70 Per Cent Solution: A Progress Report on Increasing Full-Time Employment for Ontario RNs*. Toronto: Author.
- ⁷⁰ Grinspun, D. (2007). Healthy workplaces: the case for shared clinical decision making and increased full-time employment. *Healthcare Papers*, Vol. 7, Special Issue, 69-75, 74.
- ⁷¹ O'Brien-Pallas, L., Thomson, D., Hall, M. L., Pink, G., Kerr, M., Wang, S., et al. (2004). *Evidence-based standards for measuring nurse staffing and performance*. Ottawa: Canadian Health Services Research Foundation.
- ⁷² Doran, D. & Pickard, J. Management and delivery of community nursing services in Ontario: Impact on the quality of care and quality of worklife of community-based nurses. Toronto: Author.
- ⁷³ McGillis Hall L., Pink G.H., Jones C.B., Leatt P., Gates M. & Peterson J. (2009) Is the grass any greener? Canada to United States of America nurse migration. *International Nursing Review*, 56, 198-205.
- ⁷⁴ Denton, M., Zeytinoglu, I., Davies, S., Hunter, D. (2006). The impact of implementing managed competition on home care workers turnover decisions. *Health Policy*, 1(4), 106-123.
- ⁷⁵ Aaronson, J. et al. (2004). Market modeled home care in Ontario: Deteriorating working conditions and dwindling community capacity. *Canadian Public Policy*, 30(1).
- ⁷⁶ Aronson, J. & Neysmith, S. (2006). Obscuring the costs of home care: Restructuring at work. *Work, Employment and Society*, 20(1), 27-45.
- ⁷⁷ Grinspun, D. (2003). Part-time and casual nursing work: The perils of health-care restructuring. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 23 (8/9), 54-70.
- ⁷⁸ Canadian Home Care Human Resources Study. Technical Report. 2003. Retrieved December 20, 2010 at <http://www.cdnhomecare.ca/media.php?mid=1035>
- ⁷⁹ Nursing Health Services Research Unit. (2010). Home Health Nurses in Ontario, 1999-2009. Hamilton: Author.
- ⁸⁰ College of Nurses, 2009.
- ⁸¹ Statistics Canada (2005). Part-time nurses who want full-time statis. Canada (2005). National Survey of the Health and Work of Nurses (CIHI share file, 2006). Retrieved on June 10, 2010 from http://secure.cihi.ca/cihiweb/en/zion_20070815_e.html
- ⁸² Doran, D. & Pickard, J. (2004). Management and delivery of community nursing services in Ontario: Impact on the quality of care and quality of worklife of community-based nurses. Toronto: Author.
- ⁸³ Nursing Health Services Research Unit. (2010). Home Health Nurses in Ontario, 1999-2009. Author: Hamilton.
- ⁸⁴ Lankshear, S. & Rush, J. (2008). 2007 Community Sector Nursing Plan Report. Ontario Ministry of Health & Long Term Care, Toronto, Ontario (Grant # 06204B).
- ⁸⁵ Ibid.
- ⁸⁶ Ontario Health Coalition. (2008). Home care: Change we need. Retrieved August 21, 2010 from <http://www.web.net/~ohc/homecarereportnov1708.pdf>

⁸⁷ Canadian Home Care Human Resources Study. Technical Report. 2003. Retrieved December 20, 2010 at <http://www.cdnhomocare.ca/media.php?mid=1035>

⁸⁸ Ontario Home Health Care Providers Association and Ontario Community Support Association. (2000). Home come worker compensation. Retrieved August 21, 2010 from <http://www.ocsa.on.ca/userfiles/Homecareworkerper cent5B1per cent5D.PDF>

⁸⁹ Ibid.

⁹⁰ OCCAC website – Quality and Transparency. Retrieved on June 10, 2010 from <http://www.ccac-ont.ca/Content.aspx?EnterpriseID=15&LanguageID=1&MenuID=138>

⁹¹ Doran, D., Pickard, J., Harris, J., Coyte, P., MacRae, A., Laschinger, H., Darlington, G. & Carryer, J. (2007). The relationship between managed competition in home care nursing services and nurse outcomes. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research*, 39(3), 150-165.

⁹² Ibid.

⁹³ Denton, M., Zeytinoglu, I., Davies, S. & Lian, J. (2002). Job stress and job dissatisfaction of home care workers in the context of health care restructuring. *International Journal of Health Services* 32(4): 327–57.

⁹⁴ Ministry of Health and Long Term Care. (2006). Choosing quality, rewarding excellence: Ontario's response to the Caplan report on home care. Retrieved September 9, 2010 at http://www.health.gov.on.ca/english/public/pub/ministry_reports/caplanresp06/caplanresp06.pdf

⁹⁵ Lankshear, S. & Rush, J. (2008). *2007 Community Sector Nursing Plan Report*. Ontario Ministry of Health & Long Term Care, Toronto, Ontario (Grant # 06204B).

⁹⁶ Victorian Order of Nurses. (2006). *Of Systems and Side Effects: Mobility in Home Care Personnel*. Final Report. Retrieved December 22, 2010 at www.von.ca/doc/Mobility_per cent20Study.doc

⁹⁷ Doran, D., Pickard, J., Harris, J., Coyte, P., Macrae, A., Laschinger, H., et al. (2002). *Competative bidding for community based nursing services: Report of phase one, community nursing services study*. Retrieved from: http://www.download.nursing.utoronto.ca/faculty/bios/CNSS_Phase_1_Reportb.pdf

⁹⁸ Canadian Home Care Human Resources Study. Technical Report. 2003. Retrieved December 20, 2010 at <http://www.cdnhomocare.ca/media.php?mid=1035>

⁹⁹ Ontario Health Coalition. (2008). Home Care: Change we need. Retrieved August 21, 2010 from <http://www.web.net/~ohc/homecarereportnov1708.pdf>

¹⁰⁰ Doran, D., Pickard, J., Harris, J., Coyte, P., MacRae, A., Laschinger et al. (2004). *Management and delivery of community nursing services in Ontario: Impact on the quality of care and the quality of work life of community-based nurses*. Final Report. Toronto: Author.

¹⁰¹ Cathcart, D., Jeska, S., Karnas, J., Miller, S., Pachacek, J. & Rheault, L. (2004). Span of control matters. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 34 (9), 395-399.

¹⁰² North, L., Kehm, L., Bent, K. & Hartman, T. (2008). Can home-based primary care cut costs? *The Nurse Practitioner*, 33(7), 39-44.

¹⁰³ Lewandowshi, W., & Adamle, K. (2009). Substantive areas of clinical nurse specialist practice: A comprehensive review of the literature. *Clinical Nurse Specialist*. 23(2), 73-90.

¹⁰⁴ Ellenbecker, C., Porell, F., Samia, L., Byleckie, J. & Milburn, M. (2008). Predictors of home health care nurse retention. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 40(2), 151-160.

¹⁰⁵ Lankshear, S. & Rush, J. (2008). *2007 Community Sector Nursing Plan Report*. Ontario Ministry of Health & Long Term Care, Toronto, Ontario (Grant # 06204B).

*Parts of this material are based on data and information provided by the College of Nurses of Ontario; however, the analyses, conclusions, opinions and statements expressed herein are those of the author, and are not necessarily those of the College.